

**IS HEALTH STATUS OF ELDERLY WORSENING IN INDIA?  
A COMPARISON OF SUCCESSIVE ROUNDS OF NATIONAL SAMPLE  
SURVEY DATA**

**Zakir Husain**

Associate Professor, Population Research Centre, Institute of Economic Growth, India  
Tel: (9111) 2766 7101/7288; Ext: 238; Fax: (9111) 2766 7410  
Email: dzhusain@yahoo.com

**Saswata Ghosh**

Assistant Professor, Institute of Development Studies Kolkata, India  
Tel: (9133) 2448 8178; Fax: (9134) 2448 1364  
Email: ghosh.saswata@gmail.com

**IS HEALTH STATUS OF ELDERLY WORSENING IN INDIA?  
A COMPARISON OF SUCCESSIVE ROUNDS OF NATIONAL SAMPLE  
SURVEY DATA**

**Abstract**

The increasing graying of India's population raises concerns about the welfare and health status of the aged. One important source of information of health status of the elderly is the National Sample Survey Rounds on Morbidity and Health Care Expenditure. Using unit level data for 1995-96 and 2004-05 this paper has examined changes in reported health status of elderly in India and analyzed their relationship with living arrangements and extent of economic dependency. It appears that even after controlling for factors like caste, education, age, economic status and place of residence, there has been deterioration in self-perceived current health status of elderly. This paper argues that, although there have been changes in the economic condition and traditional living arrangements - with a decline in co-residential arrangements - this is not enough to explain the decline in reported health status and calls for a closer look at narratives of neglect being voiced in developing countries.

**Keywords:** Economic independence, Co-residence, Elderly, Health status, Ordered logit model, India.

**IS HEALTH STATUS OF ELDERLY WORSENING IN INDIA?  
A COMPARISON OF SUCCESSIVE ROUNDS OF NATIONAL SAMPLE  
SURVEY DATA**

**1. Introduction**

The sharp dip in fertility rates in recent years, combined with falling mortality rates over the last four decades, is leading to an increase in the absolute and relative size of elderly population in the developing countries (Rajan, Mishra and Sarma, 2003). Population projections indicate an increasing graying of the world's population, with the share of persons aged 60 years and above increasing from 9.5 percent in 1995 to 30.5 percent in 2150 (United Nations, 2005). The growth rate of the elderly population varies between countries, but is expected to be high in Afro-Asian countries. In India, for instance, the share of aged has increased from 6.5 percent (1981) to 7.4 percent (2001), and is expected to constitute about a fourth of the population by 2075. Although some researchers argue that the increase in the elderly population merely comprises a change in dependency structure, and resources freed from a reduction in share of young should suffice to take care of the elderly (Ball and Bethel, 1998), the issue is more complex, particularly in developing countries, and goes beyond looking after their special needs with respect to health care, housing and financial insecurity (Nyce and Schieber, 2005). In these countries, as Palloni (2005) points out, graying of the population is occurring in economic, social and political contexts that are often fragile and precarious.

The last two decades have witnessed a significant transition of the Indian economy and society having crucial implications for elderly care. Changes like integration of the

economy with global markets and liberalization have created new economic opportunities for the population at large. At the same time, growing economic inequality, urbanization, modernization, increasing individualism and consumerism, women's increasing participation in paid work and greater mobility of population has led to disintegration of the traditional extended families to nuclear families in India. This has resulted in economic dependence during old age on one hand and reduced family support to the elderly on the other. Studies (Planning Commission, 2001; Rajan and Kumar, 2003; Visaria, 2001) have demonstrated how the reduced family support has resulted in the elderly having to live a marginal and precarious existence.

At the same time, the Government of India initiated a number of social welfare programmes and policies during the same period. For example, legislative activity at both the state and national levels for the very poor elderly occurred during middle and late 1990s, accompanied by growing advocacy for a national policy on ageing (Gokhale, 2003). The National Social Assistance Programme (1995) made the first attempt to provide a social security network to the elderly through the provisioning of, inter alia, a pension scheme for the elderly destitute. The National Policy on Older Persons (1999) is another major step forward in this regards. Intervention areas include financial security, health care, shelter, welfare, and other needs of elders; protection against abuse and exploitation; opportunities for developing the potential and participation of elders; and services to improve quality of life of elders. The concept of healthy aging enunciated in this policy was further reiterated in the National Population Policy of 2000. However, institutional response – in the form of developing social health care and security

mechanism, old age homes, and other necessary steps - to the problem of aging has not been effective and remains inadequate and more in the nature of lip service (Bose and Shankardass, 2004; Rajan et al., 1999).

Consequently, given problems like high levels of morbidity (Alam, 2006; Rajan et al., 1999; World Bank, 1993) and commensurately high health care expenses (Nye and Schieber, 2005), well being of the elderly may have been threatened. In particular, the impact of economic independence and living arrangements on well being and health status of the elderly is an area that has generated considerable interest among researchers. The effect of economic independence on quality of life of the aged was examined by Rajan and Kumar (2003), while the relationship between old age poverty, chronic ailments and lack of functional autonomy among elderly were studied by Alam and Mukherjee (2005) and Albert, Alam and Nizamuddin (2005). Rajan and Kumar (2003) have addressed the issue of living arrangement among Indian elderly and argued that restoring familial care of the elderly is much needed in the wake of modernization. Surveys conducted in other South-east Asian countries found that children continue to be an important source of support to the elderly (Arifin, 2006; Chan 1997; Knodel and Debavalya, 1997; Natividad and Cruz, 1997; Sobieszczyk et al., 2002). Recently - using 60<sup>th</sup> round of National Sample Survey Organization (NSSO), 2004-05, data - Ghosh and Husain (2010, forthcoming) have examined the effect of economic independence and living arrangement on perceived health status among elderly in India. Beside these studies, there were studies focusing on the prevalence of morbidity among elderly, their socioeconomic correlates and availability of health facilities (Alam, 2006; Kumar, 1996,

1999, 2003; Rajan, 2006), and on social security aspects (Kumar, 2003; Subrahmanya, 2005).

Using disability and chronic ailment as a proxy for health status, Gupta and Sankar (2003) have found that economic condition and living arrangements influence the reporting of physical vulnerability among aged in India. In a recent study Mini (2009) has attempted to assess the contribution of different factors to the overall health status (measured by combining self-perceived health status, physical mobility and presence of any disease) among the elderly population of Kerala and concluded that while women report less morbidity, perceived well-being and physical mobility were better for men. Alam (2008) found that caste, widowhood and public health measures were major determinants of health status among rural elderly. However, these studies have focused on a single point of time, using either primary surveys or data from the National Sample Survey (NSS) 52<sup>nd</sup> and 60<sup>th</sup> rounds (relating to 1994-95 and 2004-05, respectively).

The present study pools data from these two surveys; this enables us to introduce the impact of time into the picture and analyze the change in perceived health status of the elderly in India over a decadal period. This paper attempts to examine the impact of economic independence and living arrangements on the perceived health status of the elderly in India after controlling for a number of other demographic and socio-economic characteristics by introducing time period. Moreover, the study argues the need to take into account differences between rural and urban sectors and between the sexes.

## 2. Materials and Methods

The NSSO has conducted two waves of surveys on morbidity and healthcare that contain information on the health status of the elderly (aged 60 years and above) – the 52<sup>nd</sup> Round (July 1995 to June 1996) and the 60<sup>th</sup> Round (January 2004 to July 2005). The sample was selected using a two-stage stratified design, with census villages and urban blocks as the first-stage units (FSUs) for the rural and urban areas respectively, and households as the second-stage units (SSUs). A total of 194,810 households (120,942 in the 52<sup>nd</sup> Round and 73,868 in the 60<sup>th</sup> Round) were surveyed. These two datasets were merged taking variables that were common to both rounds, and data for elderly extracted. Information pertaining to a total of 68,852 persons were extracted, of which 49.4 percent was from the 52<sup>nd</sup> Round. The final merged data set contained information on self-reported health indicators, basic demographic features (age, gender, caste, marital status, educational level, household size, place of residence, state of residence), economic characteristics (like household expenditure, occupation, usual activity status), and information on living arrangements and economic independence.

The present paper uses perceived health status in order to assess the change in health condition at two points of time. NSSO provides information on three indicators of health status – mobility (responses to the question “Whether physically immobile” respondents were categorized on a three point scale – ‘Confined to bed’, ‘Confined to home’ and ‘Not Immobile’), perceived current health status (grouping responses to ‘What is your own perception about current state of health?’ using a three point scale - ‘Excellent/Very good’, ‘Good/Fair’ and ‘Poor’) and perceived improvement in state of health (NSSO also

seeks information about whether there has been an improvement in health status relative to the past year; the responses are coded on a five point scale). This paper uses perceived current health status as an indicator of health status.

Use of self-reported health status may be questioned, particularly as it is subjective (Gupta and Sankar, 2003). Self-reporting may also be shaped by the social context in which the person is situated (Sen, 1993). However, there is a large volume of literature suggesting that self-reported health is an acceptable indicator of general health with good construct validity (Manton et al., 1997; Smith, 1994; Soldo and Hill, 1995; Wallace, 1995), and a powerful predictor of mortality risks (Idler and Benyamini, 1997), disability (Idler and Kasl, 1995), and morbidity (Beckett et al., 2000; Schechter et al., 1998). A medical study in Bangladesh also finds strong correspondence between self-reported health and actual physical measures of health (Rahman and Barsky, 2003).

**Table 1: Consistency between self-reported health status and physical mobility from pooled dataset of 52<sup>nd</sup> round (1995-96) and 60<sup>th</sup> round (2004-05) of NSSO**

The consistency of response has also been verified by comparing self-reported current health status with mobility of the respondent from pooled dataset (Table 1). It can be seen that only 1.7 percent of immobile respondents report themselves to be in excellent health while the majority (82.9 percent) feel that they are in poor health. Similarly, most of the physically mobile persons report themselves to be in either good health (75 percent) or excellent health (8 percent). This indicates that self-reported current health status is an acceptable indicator and can be used in the present analysis.



The response to state of current health status has been coded into three categories – Poor, Good/Fair and Excellent. Researchers like Gupta and Sankar (2003) and Mini (2009) have converted this three-fold classification to a binary form and used a binary logit model. This results in loss of information on the health status of respondent. Since the response variable has more than two levels (polychotomous) and can be naturally ordered ordinally, Ghosh and Husain (2010, forthcoming) suggest use of an ordered logit model.

Ordered logit model is a multi-equation model, with each equation resembling a logit model. The equations are estimated for the binary options (1, 2 to J), (1 to 2, 3 to J), (1 to 3, 4 to J) ... of the response variable. Therefore, the number of equations is always one less than the number of categories of the dependent variable. Typically, the logistic regression models assumes that the coefficients of each of the explanatory variable included in the model are constant across all the categories of the response variable; that is, the different equations are parallel, only the intercept changes for each level of transition. This is known as the *assumption of proportional odds*. This assumption, however, is strong and should be tested (Brant, 1990). If the assumption is violated, the researcher should consider shifting to a variable parameter model (Williams, 2006). Here again, two possibilities should be considered (Long and Freese, 2006). One is to completely remove restrictions on parameters and allow all coefficients to vary. This results in a set of logistic equations. On the other hand, only some parameters (selected on the basis of the Brant test) may be allowed to vary, while others are held constant. The imposition of some restrictions results in the partial proportion odds model, as opposed to the (fully) variable parameters model without any restrictions. The justification and

validity of the additional restrictions may be tested using the Likelihood Ratio test (Williams, 2006) and the appropriate parsimonious model chosen. The computation of these tests is a methodological improvement over Ghosh and Husain's (2010, forthcoming) work mentioned earlier using an ordered model.

The main explanatory variables of the models are the economic independence, living arrangement and two time periods (1995-96 and 2004-5). The variable 'economic independence' has been categorized as not-dependent to any other family members, partially dependent to other family members and fully dependent to the other family members. Family support has been determined by the living arrangement (categorized as living with spouse, with spouse and others, with children but without spouse, and a residual category including living alone, in old age homes, with other relatives or with non-relatives). Control variables included in the models were gender, age, educational attainment, caste, activity status and per capita household expenditure. Expenditure levels in 1995-96 were converted to 2004-05 prices using wholesale price indices published by the Central Statistical Organisation. Respondents were classified into four groups based on their usual activity status - engaged in System of National Activities (SNA) activities, extended System of National Accounts activities (production activities, like subsistence production, falling outside the market realm that are not incorporated within the national income of the country) unemployed but seeking work, and a residual category (comprising of rentiers, pensioners, remittance recipients, disabled, beggars, prostitutes, etc.). Given regional differences in level of overall development, available health facilities, socio-cultural practices and family structures among regions, regional dummies

were also incorporated into the models. The total sample was divided into six cultural/geographical regions - Central (reference category), North, North-East, East, West and South. Another dummy indicating the place of residence (rural and urban) was also incorporated. Marital status of the respondent was incorporated in the bivariate analysis but dropped in the multivariate models due its high correlation with 'living arrangement'.

## **1. Results**

### **3.1 Sample characteristics between rounds**

Tables 2a and 2b presents a profile of the sample available from the merged rounds. It has been observed that while economically fully dependant elderly comprise the majority of respondents, the proportion of economic independent persons is also quite high and has increased in 2004-05. In conformity with the family structure observed in Asian countries, the majority of elderly reside with their children (with or without spouses); however, this proportion has declined over time.

**Table 2a: Sample characteristics and differences between 52<sup>nd</sup> round (1995-96) and 60<sup>th</sup> round (2004-05) of NSSO**

About half of both sub-samples are females. The proportion of 'young-old' constitutes about one-third of the respondent and also increased between rounds. Currently married and widows/widowers comprise most of the sample. The caste profile shows an increase in Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (SCs and STs). This may reflect a greater willingness to report their underprivileged social status to take advantage of the reservation policy introduced in the 1990s (GOI, 2006). About 84 percent of the sample

has primary education or even lower level of education. However, educational levels have increased, with an increase in the proportion of respondents with more than primary level education from 15 percent to 17 percent. A large proportion of the elderly are engaged in either SNA or extended-SNA activities, though the majority are in the residual category. There does not seem to be any significant changes in their activity status.

**Table 2b: Sample characteristics and differences between 52<sup>nd</sup> round (1995-96) and 60<sup>th</sup> round (2004-05) of NSSO**

The sample has a higher proportion of rural residents, with the proportion increasing over time. Respondents from the Central and the Southern states comprise the major part of the sample (Table 2b). Regional variations in the samples may be observed over time. Representation from the Northern and the Western states has declined, while that of the Central, the Eastern and the North-eastern states has increased.

In addition, to above, mean and median per capita expenditure levels were also estimated (not shown in the Table). Mean of expenditure in 1995-96 and 2004-05 are Rs.437 and Rs.740, respectively; the corresponding figures for median are Rs.362 and Rs.571 (when an Indian Rupee is equal to about two US cents).

### **3.2 Self-perceived health status of elderly among different population sub-groups between rounds**

Most of the respondents perceived a good state of health in both the rounds. About 20-25 percent of respondents, however, perceived poor health status. This proportion is not concerning, given their age profile. What is interesting is that the proportion of

respondents reporting poor health status has increased over the study period by five percentage points and needs careful investigation.

**Figure 1: Self-reported current health status in India, 52<sup>nd</sup> round (1995-96) and 60<sup>th</sup> round (2004-05) of NSSO**

Table 3a and 3b presents results of bivariate analysis between perceived health status and explanatory variables across the study period. It can be observed from Table 3a that there has been a substantial increase in respondents reporting poor health status in almost all cases. Although percentage of persons reporting poor reported health status has increased among economically independent, partially dependant and fully dependant persons, the change in terms of percentage points between these categories is marginal. The increase is the highest among respondents who reside with non-relations and are unemployed. Health status has improved only among inmates of old age homes, possibly a result of improvement in their functioning, reflecting the growing societal concern for the elderly and increasing activism among NGOs and similar organizations. However, the decrease in proportion of inmates of such homes reporting excellent health over the study period indicates that there is still need for improvement in this regard.

**Table 3a: Bivariate analysis of perceived current health status and explanatory variables in 52<sup>nd</sup> round (1995-96) and 60<sup>th</sup> round (2004-05) of NSSO**

It can be seen from Table 3b that elderly males are more likely to report poor health than females. Compared to the ‘young-elderly’ (those aged between 60 to 69 years), ‘middle aged elderly’ (between 70-79 years) report a greater decline in excellent health; the ‘old-elderly’ (aged 80 years or more) also report a decline in health status, but its magnitude is

in between the two age groups. Elderly with living spouse, widows and widowers are more likely to report poor health status compared to never married respondents and divorcees between the two rounds. This may be because the latter had planned financially and had prepared themselves psychologically in advance for old age and destitution. Analysis of reported self-perceived health by educational level reveals that the decline in reporting 'excellent' health is greater among those with 'middle' levels of education – secondary (10 years of schooling) and higher secondary (twelve years of schooling). This possibly implies that those with lower educational levels may be, in general, relatively more affluent (monthly per capita expenditure of the graduate elderly is Rs.1676, compared to Rs.1044 for those with Secondary and Higher secondary level education) and have relatively modest expectations compared to more educated elderly in terms of health status. Interestingly, graduates reporting poor health status have higher per capita expenditure levels than those reporting fair and excellent health. There has been a decline in economically active respondents reporting excellent health. This may reflect deteriorating working conditions over the study period. There has also been a substantial increase in unemployed respondents reporting poor health.

**Table 3b: Bivariate analysis of perceived current health status and control variables in 52<sup>nd</sup> round (1995-96) and 60<sup>th</sup> round (2004-05) of NSSO**

Bivariate analysis of caste and perceived health status indicates a marked increase in reporting 'poor' health status among the forward castes, followed by Scheduled castes. Contrary to expectation, Scheduled Tribes, expected to have least access to health facilities and government programmes, have registered a marginal improvement in perceived health status.

Elderly belong to urban areas are more likely to report poor health status in 2004-05 compared to 1995-96. Analysis by zones reveals that residents of Central India (comprising Bihar, Jharkhand, Uttarkhand, Uttar Pradesh, Chattisgarh, Madhya Pradesh and Rajasthan) have experienced the greatest decline in self-perceived health, followed by the aged living in Eastern states of West Bengal, Orissa and Assam. Residents of North-east India actually report an improvement in self-perceived health status while the decline in good reported health status among the elderly living in Southern states of Andhra Pradesh, Kerala, Tamil Nadu and Karnataka is marginal.

### 3.3 Econometric analysis of all-India sample

As mentioned previously, an ordered logit is used to identify determinants of self-perceived health status of the elderly in India. The  $\chi^2$  statistic for the Brant test (507.86,  $p=0.00$ ) indicates that the proportional odds assumption is invalid. Two variants of the ordered logit model are considered. The likelihood ratio (LR) test statistic between the partial proportional odds model and the variable parameter model is 122.31 (0.00). This indicates that the assumption of even the partial proportion odds assumption is too restrictive, so that the variable parameter model is appropriate. Results of this model are stated in Table 4.

**Table 4: Results of generalized ordered variable parameters logit model, 52<sup>nd</sup> round (1995-96) and 60<sup>th</sup> round (2004-05) of NSSO**

As expected, economically dependent elderly are more likely to report poor perceived health status compared to their independent counterparts. This reconfirms findings of earlier studies (Rajan and Kumar, 2003; Gupta and Sankar, 2003; Mini 2009; Alam,

2008; Ghosh and Husain, 2010, forthcoming). This is important as the majority of the elderly are completely dependent on their relatives (see Table 2a). The lack of savings and economic vulnerability of the aged in India is also confirmed by the finding that 60 percent of female elderly and 30 percent of male elderly in India do not possess any valuable assets (Rajan, 2006). Sen and Noon (2007) have observed that residence in intergenerational households seem to provide protection from falling sick with short term illnesses. Similar findings were also reported by Gupta and Sankar (2003). Results of the ordered logit model (Table 4) reconfirm these results. Persons engaged in economic activities or extended SNA-activities are more likely to report fair or excellent health status. In fact, odd ratios of these two groups are higher than for all other variables.

Females are found to be more likely to report fair health, and are as likely as males to report themselves to be in excellent health. The former result contrasts with findings by other researchers who find females to report poorer health status than males (Gupta and Sankar, 2003; Rajan, 2006; Mini, 2009; Ghosh and Husain, 2010, forthcoming). Sen (2006), however, warns that social influences that may reduce expectations and keep a person content in situations that appear unsatisfactory to others - “the malleability of mental attitudes ... may tend to hide and muffle the extent of deprivation in many cases” (Sen, 2006: 387). In this context, Sen (1985, 1993) cites a study by Lall and Seal (1949) during the Great Bengali famine of the 1940s reporting that 48.5 percent of widowers feel themselves to possess poor health status, as compared to 2.5 percent of widows. Sen (1993) also refers to the poorer self-reported health conditions reported in Kerala (having developed health and education) compared to states like Bihar and Uttar Pradesh with



poor health infrastructure. This may also explain why STs are more likely to report themselves to be in fair health – also reported by Alam (2008) - while SC members report poorer health status, than non-SC or ST members – even though it is well known that the STs are at disadvantageous position in terms of education and economic status in Indian social strata.

Among other control variables age, educational attainment and household per capita expenditure are found to have significant positive relationship with perceived health status; this supports earlier finding in this regard (Alam, 2008; Chou and Chi, 2002; Gupta and Sankar, 2003; Mini, 2009; Rajan, 2006; Ghosh and Husain, 2010, forthcoming).

It has also been observed that the urban residents are more likely to report themselves to be in fair or excellent health. This possibly reflects better access to health care facilities. Moreover, econometric analysis reveals that elderly people living in the Western and the North-Eastern India are more likely to report themselves to be in fair or excellent health than those residing in the Central India. In contrast respondents from the Eastern states report themselves to be worse-off. Respondents from both North and South India are more likely to report themselves to be in fair health. However, while the probability of a North Indian reporting excellent health is the same as a Central Indian, a South Indian is less likely to report excellent health.

Finally, the impact of the time dummy needs to be discussed. It had been seen earlier (Fig. 1) that self-reported health status had deteriorated over the study period. Econometric analysis shows that this holds even after controlling for changes in socio-economic characteristics. A person is 33 percent less likely to report fair health and 49 percent less likely to report excellent health in 2006 than in 1995-96. Given the secular improvement in health facilities and greater acknowledgement of societal responsibility towards the elderly this finding calls for a closer look.

### 3.4 Econometric analysis by sex and place of residence

This section presents estimates of the appropriate ordered logit model for the four major population groups – urban males, urban females, rural males and rural females. It can be seen that these results are similar to the one obtained for the entire sample. What is interesting to analyze is the change in odds ratio for the time dummy.

**Table 5: Results of ordered logit model by sex and place of residence, 52<sup>nd</sup> round (1995-96) and 60<sup>th</sup> round (2004-05) of NSSO**

It can be seen that the odd ratio for the time dummy is less than unity (indicating a negative relationship with reported health status) for all sub-samples. In the case of Model 1 (Poor health vs Fair Health) the odds ratio of time is the lowest for urban males and females, respectively. This implies that the deterioration in health status between 1995-96 and 2004-05 is the highest in urban areas. Given better access to health facilities and greater activism of NGOs in urban areas this result is surprising. The odd ratio in the case of the Model 2 (fair versus excellent health) is lowest for urban females, closely followed by rural females; although the odd ratio is the highest for rural males, difference

between males is marginal. Overall, therefore, *urban females seem to be the most vulnerable section of the population compared to others.*

#### **4. Discussion**

Based upon the nationally representative data collected during 1995-96 and 2004-05 by NSSO, India, during its 52<sup>nd</sup> and 60<sup>th</sup> round surveys respectively on ‘Morbidity, Health Care and Condition of Aged’, the present study provides changes in demographic and socioeconomic conditions in the self-perceived health status of the elderly population in India over a decade.

‘Self-reported health status’ has been used as a response variable in the present study. Several earlier studies have shown that self-reported health among elderly adults is a valid measure of the respondent’s objective health status, an important predictor of survival in old age and a strong predictor of healthy longevity, even after controlling a number of factors associated with mortality, morbidity and disability (Jagger et al., 1993; McCallum et al., 1994; Lee, 2000; Ghosh and Husain, 2010, forthcoming).

From the present study it may be argued that economic conditions appeared to be the crucial factor for the elderly across the world as it underlay all other determinants of living conditions and health status (Guilmoto and Rajan, 2002). It has been reported that around 60 percent of female elderly and around 30 percent of male elderly in India did not possess any valuable assets indicating their lack of savings and economic vulnerability (Rajan, 2006). Rajan (2006) also found that about 10 percent of rural elderly

faced difficulties in accessing one of three basic needs such as food, clothing and medicine. The economic vulnerability of the elderly is particularly important given the high proportion of ailing persons (PAP) among the aged. NSS (2006) reports, for instance, PAP to be 30 percent among elderly in India. The report also estimates that 40 percent of the ailing persons were suffering from visual, locomotive, auditory or vocal disability, while a significant proportion was suffering from chronic diseases. For instance, about 20 percent of them were suffering from cardio-vascular diseases, 15 percent with cough (including tuberculosis of lung, asthma, bronchitis and whooping cough) and 9 percent with diabetes. Along with day-to-day living expenses, treatment of these diseases requires prolonged medication. This reduces their purchasing power since familial transfers may not be sufficient in many cases to meet all these expenses. This is aggravated by the deceleration in productive employment and growing casualization of labour market (Alam and Karim, 2006). Moreover, Alam (2008) has found that if consumption is taken as a close proxy for income, the internationally accepted one-dollar poverty norm appears to be a distant dream for many of the rural households with the co-residing elderly. All these evidences indicate the extent of economic vulnerability and marginalization of the aged in India and calls for multi-pronged strategies and programmatic considerations.

Many researchers have argued on aging in India that the influx of Western attitudes and culture, increasing work pressure on the working class, and similar processes associated with the integration of Indian economy and society with Western economies has led to a substantial reduction in co-residential arrangements and interaction between elderly

parents and children (Alam, 2006; Bali, 1999; Bose and Shankardass, 2004; Rajan et al., 1997). The increasing conflict between generations has led to an increasing preference among even the elderly to live apart from their offspring, though maintaining ties with them. An increasing proportion of the aged are thus found to be residing in nuclear families, with their spouses, rather than in inter-generational households. Further, studies have also showed that work pressure and physical strain on the children, coupled with rising costs of living intensifying competition for scarce family resources, adversely affects relations between the elderly and their family members (Agewell Foundation, 2010). Such trends are more marked in urban areas where the forces of urbanization and Westernization are more sweeping and where social isolation and lack of social networks is more common. In particular, the plight of elderly females appears to be most concerning. Starting from an initially disadvantaged position in a patriarchal society, the status of women deteriorates sharply as she loses her functional importance within the family (Bagchi, 1997). Inter-generation conflict is often sharpest between the elderly female her daughter-in-law. Lack of economic security, mobility and scope for social interaction increases her social isolation and vulnerability (Ghosh and Husain, 2010, forthcoming). In case of widows, their life is further disorganized by the emotional loss suffered and erosion of status within the family. Given this situation, the decline in reported health status found in the present study is not surprising.

However, the bleakness of these findings should be counterbalanced with the stability of co-residential arrangements (Palloni, 2001) - NSS data reveals that 84 percent of the aged still co-reside with their children in urban areas. The phenomenon of “living apart but

together” (Sokolovsky, 2001) also shows how traditional living arrangements are adapting to structural and socio-economic changes (Gangrade, 1999). In such families, although co-residential arrangements have disintegrated, the joint family persists as a functional unit with the family drawing closer during crisis (Nayyar, 1999). In addition, factors like the altruistic caring of parents by children (Alam, 2006), media campaigns attempting to sensitize society and institutions to the needs and concerns of the elderly (a recent advertisement by a fast food company, for instance, shows children relieving the social isolation of their aged neighbors by sharing a pizza with them), increasing activism of the civil society and various institutions (like the judiciary), and introduction of government policies (like *Annapoorna*, *Antodaya*, National Social Old Age Pension, etc.) seeking to provide economic, social and emotional security to the aged are also important.

This raises questions about the ‘discourses of neglect’ being heard in developing countries. As pointed out by Sokolovsky (2001), these discourses are, in part, narratives of caution. To some extent, also, these may be the result of the activism of civil society providing a new platform where these discourses can be voices and increasing awareness of one’s rights and society’s duties. To what extent, therefore, the decline in reported health status is a result of actual changes in the predictor variables, and to what extent it is the result of changes in subjective evaluation of one’s welfare is an important question that needs exploring.

**Table 1: Consistency between self-reported health status and physical mobility from pooled dataset of 52<sup>nd</sup> round (1995-96) and 60<sup>th</sup> round (2004-05) of NSSO**

Physical mobility	Current perceived health status		
	Excellent	Good	Poor
Confined to bed	1.7	15.4	82.9
Confined to home	2.8	36.2	61.0
Physically mobile	8.3	74.9	16.8
<b>Number of cases</b>	<b>5147</b>	<b>47081</b>	<b>14974</b>

*Note: The  $\chi^2$  statistic( $8.7e^3$ ) is significant at one percent level*

**Table 2a: Sample characteristics and differences between 52<sup>nd</sup> round (1995-96) and 60<sup>th</sup> round (2004-05) of NSSO**

Background characteristics	Sample characteristics (%)			Percentage change between 1995-96 & 2004-05
	1995-96	2004	Total	
<b>Economic independence</b>				
Not dependent	30.6	34.5	32.6	12.7
Partially dependent	16.6	13.7	15.1	-17.5
Fully dependent	52.8	51.8	52.3	-1.9
<b>Living arrangement</b>				
With spouse	8.1	11.4	9.8	40.7
With spouse & others	49.5	47.3	48.4	-4.4
Without spouse & with children	34.3	32.6	33.5	-5.0
Other arrangements	8.1	8.7	8.4	7.4
<b>Number of cases</b>	<b>34021</b>	<b>34831</b>	<b>68852</b>	

**Table 2b: Sample characteristics and differences between 52<sup>nd</sup> round (1995-96) and 60<sup>th</sup> round (2004-05) of NSSO**

Background characteristics	Sample characteristics (%)			Percentage change between 1995-96 & 2004-05
	1995-96	2004-05	Total	
<b>Gender</b>				
Male	50.5	51.0	50.7	1.0
Female	49.5	49.0	49.3	-1.0
<b>Age Groups</b>				
60 – 69 years	62.1	64.7	63.4	4.3

Background characteristics	Sample characteristics (%)			Percentage change between 1995-96 & 2004-05
	1995-96	2004-05	Total	
70 – 79 years	28.4	26.6	27.5	-6.5
80 years and above	9.5	8.7	9.1	-8.3
<b>Marital Status</b>				
Never married	1.7	1.3	1.5	-23.5
Currently married	59.2	60.2	59.7	1.7
Widowed	38.8	38.1	38.5	-1.8
Divorced/Separated	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.0
<b>Educational Level</b>				
Below primary	75.6	72.8	74.2	-3.7
Primary	9.8	9.7	9.8	-1.4
Secondary or less	10.6	12.0	11.3	12.7
Higher Secondary	1.3	1.7	1.5	34.1
Others	2.7	3.8	3.3	40.7
<b>Economic Activity</b>				
Engaged in economic activity	32.5	32	32.3	-1.5
Unemployed	0.2	0.1	0.1	-50.0
Engaged in ext-SNA activity	23.4	22.9	23.2	-2.1
Others	43.9	45	44.4	2.5
<b>Social Group</b>				
Scheduled Tribe	8.7	9.4	9.0	8.0
Scheduled Castes	14.5	15.2	14.8	4.8
Others	76.8	75.5	76.1	-1.7
<b>Place of Residence</b>				
Rural	61.6	63.9	62.8	3.7
Urban	38.4	36.1	37.2	-6.0
<b>Zone</b>				
North	12.1	10.3	11.2	-14.9
Central	31.6	34.0	32.8	7.6
North-east	5.4	6.7	6.0	24.1
East	13.0	13.4	13.2	3.1
West	14.4	12.3	13.3	-14.6
South	23.5	23.4	23.5	-0.4
<b>Number of cases</b>	<b>34021</b>	<b>34831</b>	<b>68852</b>	



**Table 3a: Bivariate analysis of perceived current health status and explanatory variables in 52<sup>nd</sup> round (1995-96) and 60<sup>th</sup> round (2004-05) of NSSO**

Predictor variables	1995-96				2004-05				Change : 1995-96 & 2004-05 (Percentage points)			
	Excellent	Good	Poor		Excellent	Good	Poor		Excellent	Good	Poor	
<b>Economic Independence</b>												
Independent	15.2	77.1	7.7		9.3	76.7	14.1		-5.9	-0.4	6.3	
Partially dependent	9.9	75.2	14.8		5.3	73.4	21.4		-4.6	-1.9	6.5	
Fully dependent	6.7	66.9	26.4		3.1	64.2	32.7		-3.6	-2.7	6.3	
<b>Living Arrangement</b>												
Alone & inmate of old age home	10.5	69.6	19.9		8.3	74.2	17.4		-2.2	4.7	-2.5	
Not inmate of old age home	9.9	70.4	19.7		4.5	70.1	25.5		-5.4	-0.3	5.8	
With spouse only	10.0	72.0	18.0		5.4	69.8	24.8		-4.6	-2.2	6.8	
With spouse & others	11.6	72.5	15.9		6.9	72.2	20.9		-4.8	-0.3	5.1	
Without spouse & with children	7.1	69.1	23.8		3.7	66.9	29.4		-3.4	-2.2	5.6	
Other relation	8.7	66.9	24.4		4.7	63.8	31.5		-4.0	-3.1	7.1	
Other non-Relation	18.1	64.5	17.4		7.5	58.2	34.3		-10.6	-6.3	16.9	
<b>Number of cases</b>	<b>3319</b>	<b>23944</b>	<b>6758</b>		<b>1828</b>	<b>23137</b>	<b>8216</b>					

**Table 3b: Bivariate analysis of perceived current health status and control variables in 52<sup>nd</sup> round (1995-96) and 60<sup>th</sup> round (2004-05) of NSSO**

Control Variables	1995-96			2004-05			Change : 1995-96 & 2004-05 (Percentage points)		
	Excellent	Good	Poor	Excellent	Good	Poor	Excellent	Good	Poor
<b>Gender</b>									
Male	11.4	71.1	17.5	7.0	70.0	23.0	-4.4	-1.1	5.5
Female	8.1	69.7	22.2	3.9	69.4	26.6	-4.1	-0.3	4.4
<b>Age groups</b>									
60-69 years	11.6	74.4	14.1	9.3	74.4	16.4	-2.3	0.0	2.3
70-79 years	7.3	67.3	25.4	5.3	66.0	28.8	-2.1	-1.3	3.3
Above 80 years	5.1	53.7	41.2	3.9	52.2	44.0	-1.3	-1.5	2.7
<b>Marital status</b>									
Never married	13.1	60.5	26.5	6.6	65.6	27.8	-6.4	5.1	1.3
Currently married	11.4	72.2	16.4	6.6	71.7	21.8	-4.9	-0.5	5.4
Widowed	7.1	68.2	24.7	3.8	66.9	29.3	-3.2	-1.4	4.6
Divorced/Separated	9.4	63.3	27.3	7.4	63.7	28.9	-2.0	0.4	1.6
<b>Educational Level</b>									
Below primary	8.4	69.7	21.9	4.3	68.7	27.0	-4.1	-1.0	5.1
Primary	11.1	71.9	17.1	7.0	70.6	22.4	-4.1	-1.3	5.3
Secondary or less	14.9	73.0	11.9	8.5	73.3	18.2	-6.4	0.3	6.2
Higher Secondary	16.8	74.8	8.4	11.3	72.3	16.4	-5.6	-2.5	8.0
Others	20.4	71.4	8.2	12.4	74.5	13.1	-8.0	3.1	4.9
<b>Economic Activity</b>									
Engaged in economic activity	13.8	77.1	9.1	8.8	78.2	13.0	-5.0	1.0	4.0
Unemployed	8.2	75.4	16.4	5.6	61.1	33.3	-2.6	-14.3	16.9
Engaged in extended SNA activity	9.7	75.7	14.7	4.5	75.8	19.7	-5.1	0.1	5.1
Others	6.8	62.6	30.6	3.7	60.8	35.5	-3.1	-1.8	4.9

Control Variables	1995-96			2004-05			Change : 1995-96 & 2004-05 (Percentage points)		
	Excellent	Good	Poor	Excellent	Good	Poor	Excellent	Good	Poor
<b>Social Group</b>									
Scheduled Tribe	11.4	68.5	20.1	7.8	72.9	19.3	-3.7	4.5	-0.8
Scheduled Castes	7.3	69.1	23.6	4.3	67.7	28.0	-3.0	-1.4	4.4
Others	10.0	70.9	19.1	5.5	69.8	24.7	-4.5	-1.1	5.6
<b>Place of Residence</b>									
Rural	8.5	69.3	22.2	4.9	68.5	26.6	-3.6	-0.8	4.3
Urban	11.8	72.2	16.0	6.6	71.9	21.6	-5.2	-0.3	5.6
<b>Zones</b>									
North	8.7	70.8	20.5	5.9	72.3	21.8	-2.9	1.6	1.3
Central	9.2	71.7	19.1	5.6	67.3	27.1	-3.6	-4.4	8.0
North-east	19.9	60.7	19.5	10.8	70.6	18.6	-9.1	9.9	-0.8
East	8.0	64.8	27.3	3.2	64.5	32.3	-4.8	-0.3	5.0
West	11.5	73.4	15.2	6.8	74.1	19.1	-4.7	0.7	4.0
South	8.6	71.9	19.4	4.6	72.4	23.1	-4.1	0.4	3.6
<b>Number of cases</b>	<b>3319</b>	<b>23944</b>	<b>6758</b>	<b>1828</b>	<b>23137</b>	<b>8216</b>			

**Table 4: Results of generalized ordered variable parameters logit model, 52<sup>nd</sup> round (1995-96) and 60<sup>th</sup> round (2004-05) of NSSO**

Observations = 66138

LR  $\chi^2$  (44) = 9384.90 (p=0.00)

Pseudo  $R^2$  = 0.092

Exploratory variables	Poor health vs Good health		Good health vs Excellent health	
	Odds ratio	z	Odds ratio	z
<b>Economic independence</b>				
Partly dependant (RC)	1.00		1.00	
Independent	1.50	11.18**	1.46	8.38**
Fully dependant	0.73	-9.60**	0.85	-3.21**
<b>Living arrangements</b>				
With spouse and children (RC)	1.00		1.00	
With spouse only	0.69	-10.13**	0.78	-4.62**
With children but without spouse	1.01	0.51	0.88	-3.39**
Other arrangements	0.83	-5.04**	0.96	-0.68
<b>Gender</b>				
Male (RC)	1.00		1.00	
Female	1.06	2.1**	0.96	-1.00
<b>Age groups</b>				
Age group 70-79 years (RC)	1.00		1.00	
Age group 60-69 years	1.58	20.00**	1.53	10.90**
Age group 80 years and above	0.63	-13.99**	0.91	-1.20
<b>Educational level</b>	1.07	9.15**	1.10	10.10**
<b>Economic activity</b>				
Unemployed (RC)	1.00		1.00	
Engaged in economic activities	2.00	2.34**	1.88	1.46
Engaged in extended-SNA activities	1.73	1.84**	1.72	1.25
Other activities	0.77	-0.88	1.16	0.33
<b>Log of adjusted per capita expenditure</b>	1.16	6.16**	1.16	4.36**
<b>Caste</b>				
Others (RC)	1.00		1.00	
ST	1.17	3.93**	0.98	-0.30
SC	0.88	-4.39**	0.88	-2.72**
<b>Place of residence</b>				
Rural (RC)	1.00		1.00	
Urban	1.32	11.27**	1.22	5.60**
<b>Zone</b>				

Exploratory variables	Poor health vs Good health		Good health vs Excellent health	
	Odds ratio	z	Odds ratio	z
Central (RC)	1.00		1.00	
North	1.13	3.28**	0.92	-1.47
North-east	1.14	2.53**	2.15	12.42**
East	0.68	-12.70**	0.72	-6.01**
West	1.41	9.89**	1.13	2.69**
South	1.15	5.04**	0.82	-4.54**
<b>Time</b>				
Year: 1995-96 (RC)	1.00		1.00	
Year: 2004-05	0.67	-20.09**	0.51	-21.63**

Note:

RC: Reference category


Significance at 5% and 10% levels are indicated by \* and \*\*, respectively.

**Table 5: Results of ordered logit model by sex and place of residence, 52<sup>nd</sup> round (1995-96) and 60<sup>th</sup> round (2004-05) of NSSO**

Variables	Urban male		Urban female		Rural male		Rural female	
	Model 1	Model 2	Model 1	Model 2	Model 1	Model 2	Model 1	Model 2
<b>Economic independence</b>								
Partially dependent (RC)								
Independent	1.52**	1.30**	1.39**		1.64**	1.60**	1.15*	1.35**
Fully dependent	0.68**	0.69**	0.95		0.63**	0.69**	0.77**	0.89
<b>Living arrangement</b>								
With spouse & children (RC)								
With spouse	0.72**	0.91	0.67**	0.94	0.65**	0.70**	0.73**	0.64**
With children only	1.18**	0.83*	0.88**	0.88**	1.13**	0.86*	0.98	0.86**
Other cases	0.77**	1.31**	0.87*	0.87*	0.94	1.03	0.79**	0.85
<b>Age groups</b>								
Age 70-79 (RC)								
Age 60-69	1.68**	1.43**	1.50**		1.39**	1.61**	1.72**	1.63**
Age 80+	0.69**	0.97	0.57**	1.08	0.63**	0.89	0.64**	0.86
<b>Educational level</b>	1.11**	1.12**	1.04**	1.06**	1.05**	1.09**	1.01	1.06*
<b>Economic activity</b>								
Unemployed (RC)								
Eng. in econ act.	2.14	1.32	2.22**		1.11	3.22	3.14**	1.55

Variables	Urban male		Urban female		Rural male		Rural female	
	Model 1	Model 2	Model 1	Model 2	Model 1	Model 2	Model 1	Model 2
Eng. in extended SNA act.	1.81	1.05	2.15**	1.63**	0.76	3.15	2.49*	1.34
Others	1.02	0.91	NA	NA	0.43	2.04	1.12	0.94
<b>Log of adjusted per capita expenditure</b>	1.14**	1.02	1.24**		1.07	1.26**	1.19**	1.26**
<b>Caste</b>								
Others (RC)								
ST	1.15	0.77*	1.11		1.07	1.12	1.25**	0.93
SC	1.06	0.77**	0.90		0.88**	0.95	0.85**	0.85*
<b>Zone</b>								
Central (RC)								
North	1.14	1.15	1.09		1.25**	0.94	1.06	0.62**
North-east	1.18	2.42**	1.09	2.52**	1.35**	1.95**	1.07	1.95**
East	0.78**	0.71**	0.65**		0.75**	0.76**	0.62**	0.68**
West	1.40**	1.49**	1.32**		1.59**	1.03	1.40**	0.82*
South	1.35**	0.93	1.29**	0.94	1.15**	0.70**	1.09*	0.90
<b>Time</b>								
Time: 1995-96 (RC)								
Time: 2004-05	0.57**	0.55**	0.61**	0.42**	0.69**	0.57**	0.73**	0.46**

**Figure 1: Self-reported health status among elderly in successive rounds of NSSO, India**



### References

Agewell Advocacy and Research Centre (2010) Isolation in old age. Accessed at <http://agewell-initiatives.blogspot.com/> on 14 March 2010.

**Alam, A.** (2006) *Ageing in India: Socio-Economic and Health Dimensions*. Academic Foundation, New Delhi.

**Alam, M. & Karim, M.** (2006) Changing demographics, emerging risks of economic-demographic mismatch and vulnerabilities faced by the aged in South Asia: A review of situation in India and Pakistan. *Asia Pacific Population Journal* **21(3)**, 63-92.

**Alam, M. & Mukherjee, M.** (2005) Ageing, activities of daily living disabilities and the need for public health initiatives: Some evidence from a household survey in Delhi. *Asia Pacific Population Journal* **20(2)**, 47-76.

**Alam, M.** (2008) *Ageing, socio-economic disparities and health outcomes: Some evidence from rural India*. Working Paper E/290/2008, Institute of Economic Growth, Delhi.

**Albert, S.M., Alam, M. & Nizamuddin, M.** (2005) Comparative study of functional limitation and disability in old age: Delhi and New York city. *Journal of Cross-Cultural Gerontology*. **20**, 231-241.

**Arifin, E.N.** (2006) Living arrangements of older persons in east Java". *Asia Pacific Population Journal* **21(3)**, 93-112.

**Bagchi, K.** (ed) (1997) *Elderly Females in India; their Status and Suffering*. Society for Gerontological Research and Help Age, New Delhi.

**Bali, A.** (ed.) (1999) *Understanding greying people in India*. Indian Council of Social Science Research, New Delhi.

**Ball, R., & Bethell, T.** (1998) *Straight talk about social security: An analysis of the issues in the current debate. A Century Foundation/Twentieth Century Fund Report*. The Century Foundation Press, New York.

**Beckett, M., Weinstein, M. Goldman, N. & Yu-Hsuan, L.** (2000) Do health interview surveys yield reliable data on chronic illness among older respondents? *American Journal of Epidemiology* **151**, -23.

**Bose, A. & Shankardass, M. K.** (2004) *Growing Old in India: Voices Reveal, Statistics Speak*. BR Publishing Company, New Delhi.

- Brant, R.** (1990) Assessing Proportionality in the Proportional Odds Model for Ordered Logit Regression. *Biometrics* **46(4)**, 1171-1178.
- Chan, A.** (1997) An overview of the living arrangements and social support exchanges of older Singaporeans. *Asia Pacific Population Journal*, **12(4)**, 35-50.
- Chou, K.L. & Chi, I.** (2002) Successful aging among the young-old, old-old and oldest-old Chinese. *International Journal of Aging and Human Development* **54**, 1-14.
- Gangrade, K.D.** (1999) Emerging conception of aging in India – A socio-cultural perspective. In A. Bali (ed.) *Understanding greying people in India*, Indian Council of Social Science Research, New Delhi, pp. 36-57.
- Ghosh, S. & Husain, Z.** (2010) Economic Independence, Family Support and Perceived Health Status of Elderly: Recent Evidences from India. *Asia Pacific Population Journal*, **25(1)**:47-77.
- Gokhale, S.D.** (2003) Towards a policy for aging in India. *Journal of Aging & Social Policy* **15(2/3)**, 213-234.
- Government of India** (2006) *Report on the Social, Economic and Educational Status of Muslim Community in India*. Prime Minister's High Level Committee, Cabinet Secretariat, New Delhi.
- Gupta, I. & Sankar, D.** (2003) Health of the elderly in India: A multivariate analysis. *Journal of Health & Population in Developing Countries*, Accessed at <http://www.longwoods.com/home.php?cat=394> on 12 March 2010.
- Guilmoto, C. Z. & Rajan, S. I.** (2002) District Level Estimates of Fertility From India's 2001 Census. *Economic and Political Weekly* **37(7)**, 665-672.
- Idler, E. L., & Kasl, S.** (1995) Self-ratings of health: Do they also predict change in functional ability? *Journal of Gerontology* **50B(6)**, S344-S353.
- Idler, E. L. & Benyamini, Y.** (1997) Self-rated health and mortality: A review of twenty-seven community studies. *Journal of Health and Social Behaviour* **38**, 21-37.
- Jagger, C., Spiers, N. A. & Clarke, M.** (1993) Factors associated with decline in function, institutionalization and mortality in the elderly. *Age and Ageing* **22**, 190-197.
- Knodel, J. & Chayovan, N.** (1997) Family Support and Living Arrangements of Thai Elderly. *Asia Pacific Population Journal* **12(4)**, 51-68.



- Knodel, J. & Debavalya, N.** (1997) Living arrangement and support among the elderly in South-east Asia: An introduction. *Asia Pacific Population Journal* **12**(4), 5-16.
- Kumar, P.V.** (1999) Elderly women in rural India: Need for policy intervention. *Help Age India*. 5(3), 27-30.
- Kumar, V.** (Eds) (1996) *Indian Perspective and Global Scenario*. All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi.
- Kumar, V. S.** (2003) Economic Security for the Elderly in India: An Overview. *Journal of Ageing and Social Policy* **15**(2-3), 45-65.
- Lall, R.B. & Seal, S.C.** (1949) General rural health survey: Singur health centre 1944. All India Institute of Hygiene and Public Health, Kolkata.
- Lee, Y.** (2000) The predictive value of self assessed general, physical, and mental health of functional decline and mortality in older adults. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health* **54**, 123-129.
- Long, J.S. & Freese, J.** (2006) *Regression Models for Categorical Variables Using Stata*, 2nd ed. Stata Press, College Station, Texas.
- McCallum, J., Shadbolt, B. & Wang, D.** (1994) Self-rated health and survival: A seven year follow-up study of Australian elderly. *American Journal of Public Health* **84**, 1100-1105.
- Manton, K. G, Stallard, E. and Cordel, R.** (1997) Changes in age dependence of mortality and disability: Cohort and other determinants. *Demography* **34**, 135-157.
- Mini, G.K.** (2009) Socioeconomic and demographic diversity in the health status of elderly of elderly people in a transitional society, Kerala, India. *Journal of Biosocial Science* **41**(4), 457-467.
- National Sample Survey Organization** (2006) *Morbidity, Health Care and the Condition of the Aged, Report No. 507*. Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation, Government of India, New Delhi.
- Natividad, J.N. & Cruz, G.T.** (1997) Patterns in living arrangements and familial support for the elderly in Philippines. *Asia Pacific Population Journal* **12**(4), 17-34.
- Nayyar, B.K.** (1999) Changing role of the family in the care of the old. In A. Bali (ed.) *Understanding greying people in India*, Indian Council of Social Science Research, New Delhi pp. 94-124.

**Nyce, S.A. & Schieber, S.J.** (2005) *The Economic Implications of Aging Societies: The Cost of Living Happily Ever After*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

**Palloni, A.** (2001) Living arrangements of older persons. *Population Bulletin of the United Nations*, Spl. Issue (Living arrangements of older persons – Critical issues and policy responses) **42/43**, 54-110.

**Planning Commission** (2001) *National Human Development Report 2001*. Government of India, New Delhi.

**Rahman, M.O. & Barsky, A.J.** (2003) Self-reported health among older Bangladeshis: How good a health indicator is it? *The Gerontologist* **43**, 856-863.

**Rajan, S. I.** (2006) *Population Ageing and Health in India*. The Centre for Enquiry into Health and Allied Themes (CEHAT), Mumbai.

**Rajan, S. I. & Kumar, S.** (2003) Living Arrangements among Indian Elderly: New Evidence from National Family Health Survey. *Economic and Political Weekly* **38(3)**, 75-80.

**Rajan, I., Misra, U.S. & Sarma, P. S.** (1999) *India's Elderly – Burden or Challenge*. Sage Publications, New Delhi.

**Schechter, S., Beatty, P. & Willis, G. B.** (1998) Asking survey respondents about health status: Judgment and response issues. In Schwarz., N., Park, D., Knauper, B. & Sudman, S. (eds.) *Cognition, Aging, and Self-reports*. Taylor & Francis, Ann Arbor, MI: pp. 229-247.

**Sen, A.K.** (1985) *Commodities and capabilities*, Oxford University Press, New Delhi.

**Sen, A.K.** (1993) Positional objectivity. *Philosophy and Public Affairs* **22(2)**, 126-145.

**Sen, A.K.** (2006) The Possibility of Social Choice. In Agarwal, B., Humphries, J. & Robeyns, I. (eds) *Capabilities, Freedom and Equality: Amartya Sen's Work from a Gender Perspective*. Oxford University Press, New Delhi, pp. 369-419.

**Sen, M. & Noon, J.** (2007) *Living arrangement: How does it relate to the health of the elderly in India?* Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Population Association of America, New York, March 29-31.

**Smith, J.** (1994) Measuring health and economic status of older adults in developing countries. *Gerontologist* **34**, 491-496.

**Sobieszczyk, T., Knodel, J. & Chayovan, N.** (2002) *Gender and well-being among the elderly in Thailand. PSC Research report No. 02-531*, Population Studies Centre at the Institute of Social Research.

**Sokolovsky, J.** (2001) Living arrangements of older persons and family support in less developed countries. *Population Bulletin of the United Nations*, Spl. Issue (Living arrangements of older persons – Critical issues and policy responses) **42/43**, 162-192.

**Soldo B.J. & Hill, M.** (1995) Family structure and transfer measures in the health and retirement study: background and overview. *Journal of Human Resources, Supplement* **30(5)**, S108- S37.

**Subrahmanya, R.K.A.** (2005) *Social Security for Elderly*. Shipra Publications, New Delhi.

**United Nations** (2005) *Population Challenges and Development Goals*. Department of Economic and Social affairs, Population Division, New York.

**Visaria, P.** (2001) Demographics of Aging in India. *Economic and Political Weekly* **36(22)**, 1967-1975.

**Wallace R.B. & Herzog A.R.** (1995) Overview of the health measures in health and retirement study. *Journal of Human Resources, Supplement* **30(5)**, S84-S107.

**Williams, R.** (2006) Generalized Ordered Logit/ Partial Proportional Odds Models for Ordinal Dependent Variables. *The Stata Journal* **6(1)**, 58-82.

**World Bank** (1993) *World Development Report: Investing in Health*. Oxford University Press, Oxford.