#### Child Care Choices, Family Income and Mother's Employment: What can we learn from the 2004 SIPP panel?

#### Daniel J. Perez-Lopez, John J. Hisnanick, and Lynda Laughlin U.S. Census Bureau

Annual Meeting of the Population Association of America, Washington, D.C., March 31-April 2, 2011

This report is released to inform interested parties of research and to encourage discussion. The views expressed on statistical, methodological, technical, operational and any other issues are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the U.S. Census Bureau.

# Introduction

- Today, a majority of parents with children under 5 depend on substitute care givers. The increased need for non-parental care reflects the increase in maternal employment over the last 30 years and the desire for educational opportunities for young children.
- The task of selecting a child care arrangement often involves a number of factors such as employment demands, family changes, and the well being of the child in need of care.
- Using the Survey of Income and Program Participation (SIPP), this study will add to and expand on past research by examining both the individual and joint impact of mother's labor force participation and family income on child care choice.

Mother's Labor Supply and Family Childcare Choice: An Economic Perspective

- Traditional models of labor supply focus on the adjustments of hours spent working relative to hours spent on non-work activities, such as household production and leisure
- Market work for parents of preschoolers, and in particular mothers, implies a demand for non-parental childcare, which entails costs as well as influencing the wellbeing of the child
- An adjustment to the conventional approach suggests that the mother's labor force participation decision and her hours worked if she does participate influence the family's childcare choice

• The family's utility maximization, in turn, is contingent upon the choice of childcare arrangement

# Data

- The Survey of Income and Program Participation (SIPP) provides detailed, national level data on social and economic characteristics as well as detailed information on child care arrangements
- The data in this poster were collected from February through May 2005 in the 4<sup>th</sup> Wave of the 2004 SIPP Panel. The questions ask about a typical week in the previous month
- Our study is limited to women, aged 19-44, with at least one child under 4 years old
- Our sample had 1,270 women

# Measures

# Child Care Arrangements Defined

- The primary child care arrangement is the arrangement used most hours during the week.
- Based on SIPP definitions and the literature, we've broadly classified child care arrangements into the following categories:
  - Relative care (mothers, fathers, grandparents, siblings, or some other relatives)
  - Organized care (day care or child care centers, nursery school, preschools, and Head Start)
  - Family day care (other non-relative provider in child's home, day care in provider's home, babysitter, etc.)
  - No regular arrangement (not in a child care arrangement on a regular basis)

• Employment status is broadly classified into the following categories:

- Working (working at least 1 hour per week)
- Working Full-time (working 35 hours or more a week)
- Working Part-time (working more than 1 hour a week, but less than 34 hours a week)

#### Primary Child Care Arrangement by Mother's Hours Worked



\*Includes care by Father \*\*Includes School and Pre-School.

# Mother's Race by Hours Worked



### Mother's Educational Attainment by Hours Worked



# Mother's Region by Hours Worked



### Average Monthly Income by Primary Child Care Type, by Income Quintile



\*Includes care by Father

\*\*Includes School and Pre-School.

## A Model of Mother's Labor Supply and the Family's Childcare Choice

- We assume a mother's labor supply behavior influences her family's choice of child care for their preschool age child
- Prior research argues that labor supply effort needs to be account for the mother's decision to participate in the labor market
- We propose a specification that treats the mother's labor supply effort as endogenous in the family's choice of child care
- This assumption requires us to estimate her labor supply effort accounting for her decision to participate in the labor market

- The model developed is a hybrid of the two-stage least squares model
- The first stage looks at the mother's labor supply behavior accounting for selectivity
- The second stage estimates a multinomial choice model given the first stage estimates regarding the mother's labor supply behavior

#### Mother's Labor Supply and Family Childcare Choice: An Economic Perspective

- Assume a two-parent family with a preschool age child
- The family's utility function can be defined as:  $U=U(X, C, H_0)$
- With utility increasing in X, C, and  $H_0$  and the labor force participation of the father is exogenous
- The mother's time constraint can be defined as:

$$\widetilde{H} = H_w + H_o = H_w + H_{cc} + H_{hp} + l$$

- $H_w$  time working in the labor market
- $H_o$  non-work hours
- $H_{cc}$  time spent in child care
- $H_{hp}$  time spent in home production activities, other than child care.
- l time spent on leisure

• Total hours of child care used by the family is finite and can be expressed as:

$$C = C_f + C_i = (C_c + C_{fd}) + C_i$$

- $C_f$  formal child care
- $C_i$  informal child care and care received at home by one or both parents, or by other family members
- $C_c$  center-based care
- $C_{fd}$  in-home care by an unrelated person, such as a nanny and family day care arrangements

#### Mother's Labor Supply and Family Childcare Choice: An Economic Perspective

- The family's budget constraint can be expressed as:  $B = wH_w + V - (p_fC_f + p_iC_i)$
- V value of family income from sources other than the mother's employment
- W the mother's hourly wage
- $H_{w}$  mother's time working in the labor market
- $p_f$  price of formal child care
- $p_i$  price of informal child care
- $C_f$  and  $C_i$  time child spend in formal and informal care

The family chooses that combination of X,  $H_w$ ,  $C_h$ ,  $C_f$ , and  $C_i$  that maximizes the utility subject to the time and budget constraints.

$$Max \quad U[X, H_w, (C_h, C_f, C_i)]$$

Subject to:  $B = wH_w + V - (p_fC_f + p_iC_i)$   $\widetilde{H} = H_w + H_o = H_w + (H_{cc} + H_{hp} + l)$   $C = C_h + C_f + C_i = C_h + (C_c + C_{fd}) + C_i$ 

#### The model for estimation

A mother will be employed ( $P_i = 1$ ) when  $P_i^*$  is positive; she will not be employed otherwise, ( $P_i = 0$ )

$$(P_i^* = Q_i \Theta + \varepsilon_i)$$

The mother's labor supply effort will be observed for those individuals where  $P_i^* > 0$ , so that the expected labor supply effort can be written as

$$W_i \mid P_i^* > 0 = Z_i \gamma + \Theta \lambda_i + \varepsilon_i$$

 $W_i^*$  estimated for each mother of a preschool age children from the model of labor supply behavior controlling for selectivity. These are used to model family child care choice

$$C_{ik} = X_i \beta + w_i^* \tau + u_i$$

 $C_{ik}$  -- the k<sup>th</sup> child care choice selected by the i<sup>th</sup> family for their preschool age child

 $X_i$ -- a set of exogenous variables associated with the i<sup>th</sup> family

 $W_{i}^{*}$  -- the estimated labor supply effort of the mother of the i<sup>th</sup> family, controlling for selectivity

 $\beta$  and  $\tau$  -- the parameter vectors

u<sub>i</sub> -- error term

Table 1: Regression results fo	r the mothers of	f a pre-scho	ol age child, V	Wave	4, 2004 SIPI	P panel	
(Work effort equation for mothe	ers, dependent v	ariable is: th	ie average hou	ırs wo	orked in a wee	ek during	
wave 4)							
(Probit selection equation for m	others, depende	nt variable:	1 was emplo	oyed, (	) otherwise	e)	
	Wor	k effort mod	lel		Prot	model	
Variable	Est. Coeff.	Std. Err.	Significance- level		Est. Coeff	Std. Err.	Significance- level
Intercept	-10.81	5.977	**		-2.60	0.370	***
Rho-value	0.69	0.160	***				
	Mother'	s character	istics				
Mother's age	0.53	0.094	***		0.00	0.007	
Mother's race							
White	1.64	2.192			-0.19	0.143	
Black	2.74	2.184			-0.40	0.190	**
Mother's Hispanic ethnicity	2.90	1.748	*		0.21	0.113	*
Mother's marital status							
Widowed/divorced/separated	3.45	2.014	*		0.02	0.155	
Never married	6.20	1.682	***		0.05	0.127	
Mother's educational attainment							
High school	8.65	2.170	***		-0.01	0.142	
Some college, no degree	10.26	2.265	***		-0.03	0.151	
Associates' degree or professional certificate	7.76	2.319	***		-0.10	0.157	
College	9.22	2.329	***		0.05	0.154	
Post-graduate degree	14.44	2.837	***		0.64	0.208	**
Mother's employer							
Private sector	7.68	2.809	***				
State or local government	6.57	3.333	**				
Federal government	5.69	4.261					

Mother's industry of occupation							
Agriculture & Mining	18.47	5.448	***				
Manufacturing	3.27	3.326					
Wholesale or retail trade	6.70	3.322	**				
Transportation or utilities	7.75	3.890	**				
Information	9.19	4.827	**				
Financial	7.53	3.418	**				
Professional services	6.79	3.492	**				
Education or health services	5.90	3.138	*				
Leisure or hospitality	3.61	3.328					
Other service related occupations	7.48	3.802	**				
Public administration	7.05	3.960	*				
	Family'	s characteri	istics				
Natural logarthim of family income less mother's earnings contribution	0.76	0.394	**		0.28	0.030	***
Family income below the poverty threshold	9.55	3.590	***		1.80	0.150	***
Family lives in a metropolitan area	-0.04	1.506			0.03	0.102	
Family's region of residence							
Northeast	-5.90	1.914	**		-0.05	0.135	
South	-0.63	1.611			0.08	0.108	
West	-1.66	1.524			-0.20	0.106	**
Number of own children less than 18 years old in family	-1.11	0.478	**		-0.08	0.029	***
Notes:							
Total number of (unweighted) obser	vations: 871						
Censored observations: 871							
Uncensored observations: 1270							
*** significance-level, 0.01;	** significance	-level, 0.05;		* si	gnificance-leve	el, 0.10	
Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Census	Survey of Income	and Program I	Participation, 2	2004 pai	nel, wave 4.		
Note: Reference Levels for categor	ical variables in em	ployment sele	ectivity and wo	ork effor	t models are as	follows:	
Mother's race: all other races, (Asia	n, American Indiar	ı or Alaska Na	tive, Native H	awaiian (	or Other Pacif	ic	
Islander); Hispanic Ethnicity: not H	lispanic; Marital S	tatus: married	; Educational	Attainm	ent: less than h	nigh school;	
Employer: self-employed, Industry:	construction; Fam	ily income bel	ow poverty th	reshold:	Family income	e equal to or al	pove poverty;
Family lives in metropolitan area:	non-metropolitan;	Family region	of residence: l	Midwest.			

#### Mother's Employment Selectivity

• Our probit model for employment selectivity (choosing to work or not) was significant

#### Mother's Work Effort (controlling for employment selectivity)

- Hispanic ethnicity: (+)
  - On average, Hispanic mothers worked 2.9 hours more per week than non-Hispanic mothers
- Marital status: (+)
  - On average, widowed/divorced/separated mothers worked 3.5 hours more per week than the married group
  - On average, never married mothers worked 6.2 hours more per week than the married group
- Level of educational attainment: (+)

≻On average, mothers worked 8 - 14 hours per week more than the group with less than high school education.

• Employer Type: (+)

On average, mothers employed in the private sector and in state or local government worked 7-8 hours more per week than selfemployed mothers

- Industry of Occupation: (+)
  - On average, mothers in all industries except manufacturing and leisure or hospitality worked between 3 – 18 hours per week more than mothers in construction
- Family income below the poverty threshold: (+)
  - On average, mothers in families with incomes below the poverty threshold worked 9.6 hours per week more than the mothers not in poverty.
- Family's region of residence: (-)
  - On average, mothers who lived in the northeast worked 5.9 fewer hours than those living in the Midwest

Table 2: Logistic Regression Results	With Estima	ted Mothe	r's Work Effo	rt Control	ling for Selec	etivity
			Child C	are Choice	2	
	S With Estimated Mother's Work Effort Controlling for Sel   Child Care Choice   Relative care vs. Family day care vs. Organ   No regular care No regular care No r   Est. Coeff Signif. level Est. Coeff Signif. level Est. Coeff Signif. level Est. Coeff Signif. level Est. Coeff   2.30 * 2.01 -1.20   -0.07 *** -0.11 *** -0.03   0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00   0.02 -0.13 0.08 -1.41   0.03 0.02 -0.01 0.02   0.04 0.05 0.02   0.05 0.02 -0.06   -0.06 * -1.42   0.08 -1.42 0.03   -0.60 * -1.42   -0.60 * -1.42   -0.82 ** -2.52   *** 0.07 -0.33   -0.60 * -1.36   -0.50 -1.3	Organiz	zed care vs.			
	No regul	ar care	No regul	ar care	No reg	gular care
	Est. Coeff	Signif. level	Est. Coeff	Signif. level	Est. Coeff	Signif. level
Variable						
Intercept	2.30	*	2.01		-1.20	
Mother's age	-0.07	***	-0.11	***	-0.03	**
Mother's average monthly earnings	0.00		0.00		0.00	
Log average monthly total family income	0.02		-0.13		0.08	
Monthly family income below the poverty threshold	0.08		-1.41		0.40	
Estimated average hours worked per week by the mother	-0.01		0.05		0.02	
Mother's race						
White	0.61	*	0.74		0.02	
Black	0.24		0.93		-0.06	
Mother's Hispanic ethnicity	-0.16		-0.32		0.06	
Mother's educational attainment						
High school graduate	-0.60	*	-1.42	**	0.03	
Some college	-0.82	**	-2.52	***	0.07	
AA degree, professional certificate	-0.33		-0.69		0.09	
College graduate	-0.50		-1.36	*	0.37	
Post-graduate degree	-0.39		-0.48		0.48	
Residence in a Metro Area	0.05		0.24		0.12	
Region of residence						
Northeast	0.10		1.40	**	-0.16	
South	0.17		0.82	*	-0.16	
West	0.16		0.29		0.12	

	Est. Coeff	level	Est. Coeff	level	Est. Coeff	Signii. level
Variable						
Intercept	2.30	*	2.01		-1.20	
Mother's age	-0.07	***	-0.11	***	-0.03	**
Mother's average monthly earnings	0.00		0.00		0.00	
Log average monthly total family income	0.02		-0.13		0.08	
Monthly family income below the poverty threshold	0.08		-1.41		0.40	
Estimated average hours worked per week	-0.01		0.05		0.02	
by the mother						
Mother's race						
White	0.61	*	0.74		0.02	
Black	0.24		0.93		-0.06	
Mother's Hispanic ethnicity	-0.16		-0.32		0.06	
Mother's educational attainment						
High school graduate	-0.60	*	-1.42	**	0.03	
Some college	-0.82	**	-2.52	***	0.07	
AA degree, professional certificate	-0.33		-0.69		0.09	
College graduate	-0.50		-1.36	*	0.37	
Post-graduate degree	-0.39		-0.48		0.48	
Residence in a Metro Area	0.05		0.24		0.12	
Region of residence						
Northeast	0.10		1.40	**	-0.16	
South	0.17		0.82	*	-0.16	
West	0.16		0.29		0.12	
Family type						
Married couple family	-1.25	**	-1.67	*	-0.15	
Female headed family	-0.74		-2.59	**	0.08	
Housing tenure						
Own	0.08		0.07		-0.12	
Number of children in household under 18	0.11	*	-0.11		0.00	
Total number of (unweighted) observations:	895					
Likelihood ratio: 113.192 *** (model good	ness of fit meas	ure)				
*** significance-level, 0.01;	** significa	nce-level, 0	.05;	* signific	ance-level, 0.10	)
Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Survey of Incom	e and Program	Participatio	n, 2004, wave 4	•		
Note: Reference Levels for categorical varia	bles in model ar	e as follows	:		- <u> </u>	
Mother's race: all other races, (Asian, Americ	can Indian or Al	aska Native	, Native Hawaiia	an or Other	Pacific Islander	);
Hispanic Ethnicity: not Hispanic; Marital St	atus: married; H	Educational	Attainment: less	s than high s	chool;	
Family lives in metropolitan area:non-metro	politan; Family	region of re	sidence: Midwes	t; Family ov	wns home: fami	y rents home.
Family income below poverty threshold: Fan	- ilv income equi	al to or abov	e poverty: Fam	ilv type: Un	married couple	family

## Family Choice of Child Care Given Mother's Work Effort

- Model compares Parent or other relative care, Family day care, and Organized center-based to no regular care arrangement
- Mother's age: (-)
  - ➢ On average, older mothers were more likely to primarily use no regular arrangement as compared to the other three arrangements
- Mother's race: (+)
  - On average, white mothers were more likely to use relative care than no regular arrangement compared to Asian, American Indian or Alaska native, native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander mothers.

## Family Choice of Child Care Given Mother's Work Effort

- Mother's educational attainment: (-)
  - On average, mothers with an educational attainment of high school or some college no-degree were less likely to use relative care than no regular arrangement as their primary arrangement.
  - On average, mothers with an educational attainment of high school, some college no-degree, and college graduate were less likely to use family day care than no regular arrangement as their primary arrangement.

- Mother's region of residence: (+)
  - On average, mothers residing in the northeast and the south were more likely to use family day care than those in the midwest.
- Family type: (-)
  - On average, mothers in married couple families were less likely to use relative care as their primary arrangement, compared to mothers in non-married couple families.
  - On average, mothers in married couple families and female headed families were less likely to use family day care as their primary arrangement, compared to mothers in nonmarried couple families.
- Number of children in household under 18: (+)
  - On average, the more children under 18 that resided in the household, the more likely mothers were to choose relative care as their primary arrangement.

# Future Research

- Expand the research to include additional data from wave 8 of the 2004 panel and wave 5 of the 2008 panel.
- Investigate families' choice of child care over time and assess how changes in social and economic characteristics of families affect child care choice.
- Further investigate "non-intuitive" results to determine the lack of explanatory power and the sign of educational attainment on child care choice.